

# On $p$ -adic $T$ -numbers

(Poster)

Tomislav Pejković

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb

pejkovic@math.hr

For a transcendental number  $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , denote by  $w_n(\xi)$  the upper limit of the real numbers  $w$  for which there exist infinitely many integer polynomials  $P(X)$  of degree at most  $n$  satisfying  $0 < |P(\xi)|_p \leq H(P)^{-w-1}$ . Also, denote by  $w_n^*(\xi)$  the upper limit of the real numbers  $w$  for which there exist infinitely many algebraic numbers  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of degree at most  $n$  satisfying  $0 < |\xi - \alpha|_p \leq H(\alpha)^{-w-1}$ . Let  $w(\xi) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_n(\xi)}{n}$  and  $w^*(\xi) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_n^*(\xi)}{n}$ . Mahler used the functions  $w_n$  in order to classify transcendental numbers into three classes:  $S$ -numbers are those that have  $w(\xi) < \infty$ ,  $T$ -numbers are those with  $w(\xi) = \infty$  and  $w_n(\xi) < \infty$  for any integer  $n \geq 1$  and  $U$ -numbers have  $w(\xi) = \infty$  and  $w_n(\xi) = \infty$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . Koksma's classification into  $S^*$ -,  $T^*$ - and  $U^*$ - numbers is achieved in the same way, just using functions  $w_n^*$ ,  $w^*$  in place of  $w_n$ ,  $w$ . These two classifications coincide.

Almost all numbers are  $S$ -numbers and  $U$ -numbers contain for example Liouville numbers. But, it was only in 1968 that Schmidt proved the existence of  $T$ -numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Schlickewei adapted this result to the  $p$ -adic setting. While Schlickewei showed that  $p$ -adic  $T$ -numbers do exist, his proof only gave numbers  $\xi$  such that  $w_n(\xi) = w_n^*(\xi)$  for all integers  $n \geq 1$ . Since for any  $p$ -adic transcendental number  $\xi$  we have  $w_n^*(\xi) \leq w_n(\xi) \leq w_n^*(\xi) + n - 1$ , it is natural to ask whether there exist  $p$ -adic numbers  $\xi$  such that  $w_n(\xi) \neq w_n^*(\xi)$  for some integer  $n$  and how large can  $w_n(\xi) - w_n^*(\xi)$  really be. Although the second question is, as in the more extensively studied real case, far from being resolved, the main result of this work gives a positive answer to the first question and goes some way in answering the second one.

**Theorem.** Let  $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(w_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$  be two non-decreasing sequences in  $[1, +\infty]$  such that

$$w_n^* \leq w_n \leq w_n^* + (n-1)/n, \quad w_n > n^3 + 2n^2 + 5n + 2, \quad \text{for any } n \geq 1.$$

Then there exists a  $p$ -adic transcendental number  $\xi$  such that

$$w_n^*(\xi) = w_n^* \quad \text{and} \quad w_n(\xi) = w_n, \quad \text{for any } n \geq 1.$$

We also impose much milder growth requirements on the sequence  $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$  than Schlickewei and thus our theorem considerably improves the range of attainable values for  $w_n^*$  and  $w_n$ .

MSC2010: 11J04.

Keywords:  $p$ -adic numbers,  $T$ -numbers.

Section: 3. Number Theory.